



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Vol. LIII
വലയം 53

Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday
തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

12th August 2008

2008 ആഗസ്റ്റ് 12

21st Sravana 1930

1930 ശ്രാവണം 21

No.

നമ്പർ

33

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1282/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between Sri Muthayya Rajyasabha, Managing Partner, Sri Nagalinga Vilasam Oil Mills, Aramam P. O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri B. Remanan, Muringamadom Veetil, Pada Vadakku, Karunagappally in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri B. Remanan, Expeller Worker by the management of Sri Nagalinga Vilasam Oil Mills, Aramam, Kollam w. c. f. 19-5-2002 is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1283/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Sahirshu, Managing Partner, Puthuveetil Sawa Mill, Kilikolloor, Kollam and the workman of, the above referred establishment Shri C. Surendran Polathara Vedu, Kalimunnazham P. O., Kilikolloor, Kollam-4 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Shri C. Surendran, Belt Banza Operator, Puthuvettil Saw Mill, Kilikolloor by the management with effect from 2-4-2007 is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1284/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Muthayya Rajyasabha, Managing Partner, Sri Nagalinga Vilasom Oil Mills, Asramam P. O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri V. Sivadasan, Thandanteyyathu Kizhakkathil, PadaVadakku, Karunagappally in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri V. Sivadasan, Expeller Worker by the management of Sri Nagalinga Vilasom Oil Mills, Asramam, Kollam w.e.f. 19-5-2002 is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1288/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri K. Radhakrishnan, Managing Partner, Lakshmi Enterprises, Cashew Processors, Exporters and Importers, Kilikolloor, Kollam-691 004 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. Balan, Lakshmi Veedu (Ancash Bhavanam), Muthupilakkad West, Muthupilakkad P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri K. Balan, Roaster of Lakshmi Enterprises, Vattavila Pallimom is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1329/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Muthayya Rajyasabha, Managing Partner, Sri Nagalinga Vilasom Oil Mills, Asramam P. O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. Ramachandran, Keelattu Padinjattathil, Kuripuzha P. O., Thrikkadavoor Village, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Ramachandran, Expeller Worker by the management of Sri Nagalinga Vilasom Oil Mills, Asramam, Kollam w.e.f. 19-5-2002 is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1330/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, M/s Singer India Limited, H. O. A26/4, Mohan Co-operative Industrial Area, New Delhi-110 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri B. Suresh Kumar, Karungattu House, Keczhillam P. O., Perumbavoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the termination of employment of Shri B. Suresh Kumar by the management of M/s. Singer India Limited is justifiable or not? 2. If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1331/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Muthayya Rajyasabha, Managing Partner, Sri Nagalinga Vilasom Oil Mills, Asramam P. O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri G. Sudhakaran, Chirayil Thodiyl Veedu, Neeravil Cheriyl, Thriddadavoor Village, Perinadu P. O., in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri G. Sudhakaran, Expeller Worker by the management of Sri Nagalinga Vilasom Oil Mills, Asramam, Kollam w.e.f. 19-5-2002 is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1332/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between The Managing Partner, Friends Polymers, Porathissery, Irinjalakuda North P. O., Thrissur District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Krishnakumar, s/o. Panatil Unni, Kompara West P. O., Manavalassery, Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial Dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Krishnakumar Extruder Operator in Friends Polymers, Porathissery by the management, is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1333/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between Father Benjamin Palliyadi, President, Ajapalana Samithi, St. Kashmir Church, Kadavoor, Mathilil P.O., Kollam-691 601 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Shri Antony Martin, Kalluvila Kizhakkathil, Kuripuzha P. O., Perinadu, Kollam District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Antony Martin, Keeper of Bishop Jerome Hall by the management is justified? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1334/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Muhammed Basheer, Pacha Malsya Vipanaana Shala, Room No. 92, S. T. Market, Thrissur-1 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Thrissur Jilla General Mazdoor Sangham (BMS), Vivekananda Road, Thiruvampady, Thrissur-1 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri V. R. Suresh, Salesman by the owner, Shri Muhammed Basheer, Ice & Fish Merchant, Room No. 92, S. T. Nagar, Thrissur-1 is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get?

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1335/2008/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2008.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, HMT Canteen Employees Co-operative Society Limited E-800 HMT Colony, Kalamassery and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by 1. The General Secretary, HMT Canteen Employees Federation (CITU), HMT Colony P. O. Kalamassery 3. The General Secretary, HMT Canteen Employees Union (AITUC) HMT Colony P. O., Kalamassery, 2. The General Secretary, HMT Canteen Labour Congress (INTUC), HMT Colony P. O., Kalamassery in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order,

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the charter of demands of the workers in the HMT Canteen Employees Co-operative Society Limited, Kalamassery is reasonable and justifiable? 2. If so, What relief the workers are entitled to?

By order of the Governor,
K. CHANDRAN,
Under Secretary to Government.